

REMARKS

It is submitted that these claims, as originally presented, are patentably distinct over the prior art cited by the Examiner, and that these claims were in full compliance with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112. Changes to these claims, as presented herein, are not made for the purpose of patentability within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §101, §102, §103 or §112. Rather, these changes are made simply for clarification and to round out the scope of protection to which Applicants are entitled.

Claims 1-7 are in the application.

Claim 2 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claim 2 has been amended herein. It is respectfully submitted that claim 2 is not indefinite. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that this 112 rejection be withdrawn.

Claims 1-7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by MacInnis et al. (USPN 6,573,905).

Amended independent claim 1 recites in part the following:

“wherein said first image is a still image and said second image is a moving image.”

In explaining the above 102 rejection with regard to claim 1, the Examiner appears to have relied on lines 20-30 and 51-56 of column 110 of MacInnis. It is respectfully submitted that such portions of MacInnis (hereinafter, merely “MacInnis”) do not disclose the above feature of claim 1. Accordingly, it is believed that claim 1 is distinguishable from MacInnes.

For reasons similar to or somewhat to those described above with regard to claim 1, it is also believed that amended independent claim 7 is distinguishable from MacInnes.

Claims 2-6 depend from claim 1 and, due to such dependency, are also believed to be distinguishable from MacInnes for at least the reasons previously described.

Accordingly, it is requested that the above 102 rejections of claim 1-7 be withdrawn.

In the event that the Examiner disagrees with any of the foregoing comments concerning the disclosures in the cited prior art, it is requested that the Examiner indicate where in the reference, there is the bases for a contrary view.

Please charge any fees incurred by reason of this response and not paid herewith to Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

Respectfully submitted,
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP

By:



Dennis M. Smid
Reg. No. 34,930
(212) 588-0800